



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FIL     | NG DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/827,438           | 04      | /20/2004   | Jun Koyama           | 0756-7297           | 2734             |
| 31780                | 7590    | 03/21/2006 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| ERIC ROBI<br>PMB 955 | NSON    |            |                      | KUMAR, SRII         | LAKSHMI K        |
| 21010 SOUT           | HBANK S | т.         |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| POTOMAC I            |         |            |                      | 2629                | ·                |

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments  | 10/827,438   | KOYAMA ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Srilakshmi K. Kumar  | 2675   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI | ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <br>action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   |  | secution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   | <u> </u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | 6) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | r dicollori requirement.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc  | epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E   | Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  | 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office   | Action or form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | _  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ol>   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da<br>5) Notice of Informal Pa  | te atent Application (PTO-152)                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/04,7/04,1/04,1/05,2/05,5/05,9   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2675

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-46 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,549,184. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other as will be disclosed in the table below.

|         | Application 10/827,438        | US Patent No. 6,549,184   |
|---------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Claim 1 | A portable telephone having a | A semiconductor display   |
|         | display device, said display  | device comprising:        |
|         | device comprising;            |                           |
|         |                               | :                         |
|         | a source signal line side     | a source signal line side |

Art Unit: 2675

driving circuit; and driving circuit; and a gate signal line side driving a gate signal line side driving circuit, circuit, wherein said gate signal line wherein said gate signal line side driving circuit includes a side driving circuit includes a buffer circuit connected with buffer circuit connected with an output like from a shift an output line from a shift register circuit, said buffer register circuit, said buffer circuit having a plurality of circuit having a plurality of inverters, inverters, wherein each of said inverters wherein each of said inverters comprises a plurality of ncomprises a plurality of nchannel thin film transistors channel thin film transistors and a plurality of p-channel and a plurality of p-channel thin film transistors, and thin film transistors, and wherein each of said plurality wherein each of said plurality of n-channel thin film of n-channel thin film transistors is connected in transistors is connected

Application/Control Number: 10/827,438

Art Unit: 2675

| parallel with each other and     | parallel with each other and     |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| each of said plurality of p-     | each of said plurality of p-     |
| channel thin film transistors is | channel thin film transistors is |
| connected in parallel with       | connected parallel with each     |
| each other.                      | other.                           |

Note the comparison above, claim 1 of the instant application 10/827,438 is not patentably distinct from claim 1 of US 6,549,184 because the claims are virtually the same with the exception of the preamble of the application as the limitation of "A portable telephone having a display device" is considered to be intended use of the display device claimed in the body of the claim. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a display device into a portable telephone as is shown by many mobile phones in use as the display device enables the user to determine the number dialed, the number being received and caller id information.

Independent claims 2-38 are similarly rejected as not being patentably distinct from claims 1-42 of US 6,549,184, as they have similar subject matter disclosed with the exception of the intended use in the preamble as discussed for claim 1 above.

Dependent claims 39-46 are similarly rejected as not being patentably distinct from claims 1-42 of US 6,549,184 as they have similar subject matter as well.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,438 Page 5

Art Unit: 2675

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Srilakshmi K. Kumar whose telephone number is 571 272 7769.

The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on 571 272 3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Srilakshmi K. Kumar Examiner Art Unit 2675

SKK March 14, 2006

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER